

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,912	11/21/2003	Tzong Da Ho	55855-DIV (71987)	4010
759	90 03/23/2005		EXAM	INER
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP			DUONG, KHANH B	
P.O. Box 9169 Boston, MA 02209		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2822	
		DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/719,912	HO ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Khanh B. Duong	2822				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Extended - aftended - if No - Failend - Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. If SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reploperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 f	November 2003.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) \boxtimes This action is non-final.						
3)[-						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· —	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) 🛛	The specification is objected to by the Examina	er					
	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	•						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		ed in this National Stage				
* 5	application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	* **	od.				
`	200 mo diagoned detailed office action for a list	tor the certified copies flot receive	u.				
Λ ω ος b	4/-)						
Attachmen 1\⊠ Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 1-1	(DTO 442)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) 🔯 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/21/03</u> .		atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the Preliminary Amendment filed on November 21, 2003.

Accordingly, claims 5-8 were cancelled.

Currently, claims 1-4 are pending.

Priority

This application is a Divisional of application No. 09/848,137 filed May 3, 2001, now abandonned.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following typographical errors on page 1:

line 18, "PAKCAGING" should be -- PACKAGING--.

line 20, "MATEERIAL STRUCUTRE" should be --MATERIAL STRUCTURE--.

line 22, "INTERMEALLIC" should be --INTERMETALLIC--.

line 24, "STRUCUTRES" should be --STRUCTURES--.

Appropriate correction is required.

*** Applicant is hereby encouraged to further review the entire content of the specification for other errors.

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: METHOD OF FABRICATING A THERMALLY ENHANCED WAFER-LEVEL CHIP SCALE PACKAGE.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,912 Page 3

Art Unit: 2822

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kasem et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,392,290).

Re claim 1, Kasem et al. ("Kasem") discloses in FIGs. 3A-3C [see col. 2, line 59 to col. 3, line 9; and col. 4, line 37 to col. 5, line 9] a method for fabricating a thermally-enhanced wafer-level chip scale package, comprising the steps of:

- (1) preparing a semiconductor wafer having a front side and a back side, and which is predefined into a plurality of integrated circuit chips 11;
- (2) performing a bumping process to bond a plurality of solder bumps 19 on the front side of the semiconductor wafer;
- (3) performing a back-side lapping process to grind away a back-side portion of the semiconductor wafer;
- (4) attaching a thermally-conductive stiffener (backside support substrate) 14 to the back side of the semiconductor wafer;

Art Unit: 2822

(5) performing a singulation process to cut apart each chip 11 from the semiconductor wafer; and

(6) performing a flip-chip die bonding process to mount each singulated chip 11 by means of the solder bumps 19 onto a circuited substrate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kasem in view of Chen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,403,882).

Re claims 2 and 3, Kasem discloses attaching the thermally-conductive stiffener 14 to the back side of the semiconductor wafer by means of a conductive epoxy 13. However, Kasem fails to disclose the conductive epoxy 13 being made of silver epoxy and the thermally-conductive stiffener 14 being made of copper.

Chen et al. ("Chen") suggests attaching a thermally-conductive stiffener 50 comprising of copper to the back side of a semiconductor wafer 20 by a conductive adhesive 45 comprising silver epoxy [see col. 2, lines 45-48; and col. 3, lines 15-25].

Since Kasem and Chen are from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Chen would have been recognized in the pertinent prior art of Kasem.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method disclosed by Kasem as suggested by Chen since Chen states that the conductive adhesive 45 is selected so that it remains stable during subsequent processing of the chip package at elevated temperatures, and that the thermally-conductive stiffener 50 is selected so that it acts as a heat spreader which increases the thermal performance of the chip package [see col. 3, lines 6-14, and lines 45-52].

Furthermore, it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960).

Application/Control Number: 10/719,912

Art Unit: 2822

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kasem in view of Searls et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,550,531).

Re claim 4, Kasem <u>fails</u> to disclose the thermally-conductive stiffener 14 being made of copper alloy.

Searls et al. ("Searls") teaches in FIG. 5 a thermally-conductive stiffener 208 comprising copper alloy [see col. 1, lines 31-35].

Since Kasem and Searls are from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Searls would have been recognized in the pertinent prior art of Kasem.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method disclosed by Kasem as suggested by Searls because such conductive material is selected so that it acts as a heat sink which increases the thermal performance of the chip package.

Furthermore, it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh B. Duong whose telephone number is (571) 272-1836. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on (571) 272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,912

Art Unit: 2822

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KBD

AMIR ZARABIAN

AMIR ZARABIAN

AMIR ZARABIAN

AMIR ZARABIAN

Page 7